

References

- Adger, David (2003). *Core Syntax: A Minimalist Approach*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Agbayani, Brian, and Zoerner, Edward (2004). Gapping, Pseudogapping and sideward movement. *Studia Linguistica* 58(3): 185–211.
- Alexiadou, Artemis, and Anagnostopoulou, Elena (1997). Toward a uniform account of scrambling and clitic doubling. In W. Abraham and E. van Gelderen (eds), *German: Syntactic Problems–Problematic Syntax*. Tübingen: Niemeyer, 142–61.
- Alexiadou, Artemis, and Anagnostopoulou, Elena (1998). Parametrizing AGR: word order, V-movement and EPP-checking. *Natural Language and Linguistic Theory* 16: 491–539.
- Alexiadou, Artemis, and Anagnostopoulou, Elena (2001). The subject-in-situ generalization and the role of case in driving computations. *Linguistic Inquiry* 32 (2): 193–231.
- Anagnostopoulou, Elena (2002). Movement across phonologically visible categories. Ling-Lunch, MIT.
- Anagnostopoulou, Elena (2005). Holmberg’s Generalization and cyclic linearization: remarks on Fox and Pesetsky. *Theoretical Linguistics* 31 (1–2) (special issue on Object Shift in Scandinavian, ed. Katalin É. Kiss): 95–110.
- Authier, J.-Marc (2010). A movement analysis of French modal ellipsis. MS, Pennsylvania State University.
- Baltin, Mark (2000). Implications of Pseudo-Gapping for Binding and the representation of information structure. MS, New York University.
- Baltin, Mark (2003). The interaction of ellipsis and binding: implications for the sequencing of Principle A. *Natural Language and Linguistic Theory* 21: 215–46.
- Barbiers, Sjef (1990). Telwoorden, adjectiven en lege NPs. Master’s thesis, Leiden University.
- Barbiers, Sjef (2005). Variation in the morphosyntax of ONE. *Journal of Comparative Germanic Linguistics* 8 (3): 159–83.
- Barss, Andrew (1986). Chains and anaphoric dependence. Ph.D. dissertation, MIT.
- Barss, Andrew (2001). Syntactic reconstruction effects. In M. Baltin and C. Collins (eds), *The Handbook of Contemporary Syntactic Theory*. Oxford: Blackwell, 670–96.
- Barss, Andrew, and Lasnik, Howard (1986). A note on anaphora and double objects. *Linguistic Inquiry* 17: 347–54.
- Belletti, Adriana, and Rizzi, Luigi (1988). Psych verbs and Theta Theory. *Natural Language and Linguistic Theory* 6: 291–352.
- Belletti, Adriana, and Shlonsky, Uri (1995). The order of verbal complements: a comparative study. *Natural Language and Linguistic Theory* 13: 489–526.
- Boeckx, Cedric (2000). An additional note on Pseudogapping. In K. Schwabe and N. Zhang (eds), *Ellipsis in Conjunction*. Tübingen: Niemeyer, 117–32.
- Boeckx, Cedric, and Stepanović, Sandra (2001). Heading toward PF. *Linguistic Inquiry* 32: 345–55. Reprinted in Z. Bošković and H. Lasnik (eds), *Minimalist Syntax: The Essential Readings* (Oxford: Blackwell, 2006), 280–87.

- Busquets, Joan, and Denis, Pascal (2006). L'ellipse modale en français: le cas de *devoir* et *pouvoir*. MS, Université Bordeaux-3, University of Texas at Austin.
- Butler, Jonny (2004). Phase structure, phrase structure, and quantification. Ph.D. dissertation, University of York.
- Chomsky, Noam (1980). On Binding. *Linguistic Inquiry* 11 (1): 1–46. Reprinted in Frank Heny (ed.), *Binding and Filtering* (London: Croom Helm, 1981), 47–103.
- Chomsky, Noam (1993). *A Minimalist Program for Linguistic Theory*. Cambridge, Mass.: MIT Occasional Papers in Linguistics.
- Chomsky, Noam (1995). *The Minimalist Program*. Cambridge, Mass.: MIT Press.
- Chomsky, Noam (1999). Derivation by phase. Cambridge, Mass.: MIT Working Papers in Linguistics 18. Reprinted as Chomsky (2001).
- Chomsky, Noam (2000). Minimalist inquiries: the framework. In Robert Martin, David Michaels, and Juan Uriagereka (eds), *Step by Step: Essays in Minimalist Syntax in Honor of Howard Lasnik*. Cambridge, Mass.: MIT Press, 89–155.
- Chomsky, Noam (2001). Derivation by phase. In M. Kenstowicz (ed.), *Ken Hale: A Life in Language*. Cambridge, Mass.: MIT Press, 1–52.
- Chomsky, Noam (2005). On phases. MS, MIT.
- Chomsky, Noam, and Lasnik, Howard (1993). The theory of principles and parameters. In J. Jacobs et al. (eds), *Syntax: An International Handbook of Contemporary Research*, vol. 1. Berlin: de Gruyter, 506–69.
- Chung, Sandy, Ladusaw, William A., and McCloskey, James (1995). Sluicing and Logical Form. *Natural Language Semantics* 3: 239–82.
- Collins, Chris, and Thráinsson, Höskuldur (1996). VP internal structure and Object Shift in Icelandic. *Linguistic Inquiry* 27 (3): 391–444.
- Coppock, Elizabeth (2001). Gapping: in defense of deletion. *Proceedings of the 37th Annual Conference of the Chicago Linguistic Society*, 133–48.
- Corver, Norbert, and van Koppen, Marjo (2006). A Focus projection in the left periphery of DP: evidence from noun ellipsis. Talk presented at the 'Edges in Syntax' conference, Cyprus, May.
- Cyrino, Sonia, and Matos, Gabriela (2005). Local licensors and recovering in VP ellipsis. *Journal of Portuguese Linguistics* 4 (2): 79–112.
- Dagnac, Anne (2010). Modal ellipsis in French, Spanish and Italian: evidence for a TP-deletion analysis. In K. Arregi, Z. Fagyal, S. A. Montrul, and A. Tremblay (eds), *Romance Linguistics 2008: Interactions in Romance*. Amsterdam: John Benjamins, 157–70.
- Fiengo, Robert, and May, Robert (1994). *Indices and Identity*. Cambridge, Mass.: MIT Press.
- Fox, Danny (2000). *Economy and Semantic Interpretation*. Cambridge, Mass.: MIT Press.
- Fox, Danny, and Lasnik, Howard (2003). Successive cyclic movement and island repair: the difference between Sluicing and VP Ellipsis. *Linguistic Inquiry* 34: 143–54.
- Fox, Danny, and Pesetsky, David (2003). Cyclic linearization and the typology of movement. MS, MIT. http://web.mit.edu/linguistics/www/fox/July_19_handout.pdf.
- Francis, Dick (2005). *Shattered*. London: Pan.
- Gengel, Kirsten (2002). Pseudogapping in English grammar. Staatsexamensarbeit, University of Tübingen.

- Gengel, Kirsten (2005). Phases and ellipsis. In E. P. Panagiotidis and K. Grohmann (eds), *Linguistic Analysis* 35 (1–4) (special issue on phase edge investigations): 21–42.
- Gengel, Kirsten (2006a). Contrastivity and ellipsis. In L. Mackie and A. McNay (eds), *Online Proceedings of LingO: The First University of Oxford Postgraduate Conference in Linguistics*.
- Gengel, Kirsten (2006b). Head deletion and ellipsis. In *Proceedings of the Conference on Ellipsis (Actes du Colloque sur l'Ellipse)*. St Étienne.
- Gengel, Kirsten (2006c). The role of contrast in deletion processes. In *Proceedings of the Penn Linguistics Colloquium (PLC 30)*. Philadelphia.
- Gengel, Kirsten (2006d). Une approche contrastive aux pronoms résomptifs en interaction avec les anaphores zéro. In *Proceedings of the Conference on Anaphors (Actes du Colloque sur l'Anaphore)*. Tunis.
- Gengel, Kirsten (2006e). Auxiliaries, contrast and ellipsis. In C. Chang, E. Dugarova, I. Theodoropoulou, E. Vilar Beltrán, and E. Wilford (eds), *Proceedings of the CamLing Conference*. Cambridge.
- Gengel, Kirsten (2007a). Focus, exhaustivity, and deletion in English Pseudogapping. In L. Eguren and O. Fernández Soriano (eds), *Coreference, Modality, and Focus*. Amsterdam: Benjamins, 71–88.
- Gengel, Kirsten (2007b). Object Shift and Pseudogapping in the Scandinavian languages. In S. Blaho and E. Schoorlemmer (eds), *Proceedings of ConSOLE XIV*, 143–63.
- Gengel, Kirsten (2007c). Phases and Ellipsis. In E. Efnér and M. Walkow (eds), *Proceedings of the 37th Meeting of the North East Linguistic Society*. University of Massachusetts, Amherst: GLSA.
- Gengel, Kirsten, and McNay, Anna (2006a). Recursive information-structural layers in syntax: evidence from the vP layer. In C. Chang, E. Dugarova, I. Theodoropoulou, E. Vilar Beltrán, and E. Wilford (eds), *Proceedings of the CamLing Conference*, 75–82.
- Gengel, Kirsten, and McNay, Anna (2006b). Information structure at the phase level: ellipsis in the DP. Talk presented at the workshop 'DP-internal information structure: Topic, Focus, and other illocutionary forces', University of Utrecht.
- Gergel, Remus, Gengel, Kirsten, and Winkler, Susanne (2007). Ellipsis and inversion: a feature-based focus account. In K. Schwabe and S. Winkler (eds), *On Information Structure, Meaning, and Form*. Amsterdam: Benjamins, 301–22.
- Heim, Irene, and Kratzer, Angelika (1998). *Semantics in Generative Grammar*. Oxford: Blackwell.
- Holmberg, Anders (1999). Remarks on Holmberg's Generalization. *Studia Linguistica* 53: 1–39.
- Holmberg, Anders, and Platzack, Christer (1995). *The Role of Inflection in Scandinavian Syntax*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Hornstein, Norbert, Nunes, Jairo, and Grohmann, Kleanthes K. (2005). *Understanding Minimalism*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Jackendoff, Ray (1972). *Semantic Interpretation in Generative Grammar*. Cambridge, Mass.: MIT Press.
- Jayaseelan, K. A. (1990). Incomplete VP deletion and Gapping. *Linguistic Analysis* 20: 64–81.
- Jayaseelan, K. A. (2001). IP-internal topic and focus phrases. *Studia Linguistica* 55 (1): 39–75.
- Johnson, Kyle (1991). Object positions. *Natural Language and Linguistic Theory* 9: 577–636.
- Johnson, Kyle (1994). Bridging the gap. MS, University of Massachusetts, Amherst.

- Johnson, Kyle (1996a/2000). When verb phrases go missing. *Glott International* 2 (5): 75–103. Repr. 2000 in L. Cheng and R. Sybesma (eds), *The First Glott International State-of-the-Article Book*. Berlin: Mouton de Gruyter, 75–103.
- Johnson, Kyle (1996b). In search of the middle field. MS, University of Massachusetts, Amherst. (Updated 2003.)
- Johnson, Kyle (2000). Gapping determiners. In K. Schwabe and N. Zhang (eds), *Ellipsis in Conjunction*. Tübingen: Niemeyer, 95–115.
- Johnson, Kyle (2001). What VP-ellipsis can do, what it can't, but not why. In M. Baltin and C. Collins (eds), *The Handbook of Contemporary Syntactic Theory*. Oxford: Blackwell, 439–79.
- Johnson, Kyle (2004). How to be quiet. In *Proceedings of CLS 40*.
- Johnson, Kyle (2005). Ellipsis as phonological projections. Talk presented at the University of Stuttgart (Jan.).
- Johnson, Kyle (2009[2006]). Gapping is not (VP) Ellipsis. *Linguistic Inquiry* 40 (2): 289–328.
- Jónsson, Johannes Gisli (1996). Clausal architecture and case in Icelandic. Doctoral dissertation, University of Massachusetts, Amherst.
- Kayne, Richard (1985). Principles of particle constructions. In J. Guéron, H.-G. Obenauer, and J.-Y. Pollock (eds), *Grammatical Representations*. Dordrecht: Foris.
- Kennedy, Christopher (2003). Ellipsis and syntactic representation. In K. Schwabe and S. Winkler (eds), *The Syntax–Semantics Interface: Interpreting (Omitted) Structure*. Amsterdam: Benjamins.
- Kennedy, Christopher, and Merchant, Jason (1997). Attributive comparatives and bound ellipsis. UCSC Linguistics Research Center Report LRC-97-3.
- Kennedy, Christopher, and Merchant, Jason (2000). Attributive comparative deletion. *Natural Language and Linguistic Theory* 18: 89–146.
- Kester, Ellen-Petra (1996). The nature of adjectival inflection. Ph.D. dissertation, University of Utrecht.
- Kim, Jeong-Seok (1997). Syntactic focus movement and ellipsis: a minimalist approach. Doctoral dissertation, University of Connecticut, Storrs.
- Kiss, Katalin E. (1998). Identificational focus versus information focus. *Language* 74: 245–73.
- Koizumi, Masatoshi (1995). Phrase structure in Minimalist syntax. Ph.D. dissertation, MIT.
- Kratzer, Angelika (1991). The representation of focus. In A. von Stechow and D. Wunderlich (eds), *Semantik/Semantics: An International Handbook of Contemporary Research*. Berlin: de Gruyter, 804–25.
- Larson, Richard K. (1988). On the double object construction. *Linguistic Inquiry* 19: 335–91.
- Lasnik, Howard (1995). A note on Pseudogapping. In *Papers on Minimalist Syntax*, MIT Working Papers in Linguistics 27: 143–63.
- Lasnik, Howard (1999a). Pseudogapping puzzles. In S. Lappin and E. Benmamoun (eds), *Fragments: Studies in Ellipsis and Gapping*. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 141–74.
- Lasnik, Howard (1999b). On feature strength: three Minimalist approaches to overt movement. *Linguistic Inquiry* 30 (2): 197–217.
- Lasnik, Howard (1999c). Chains of arguments. In S. Epstein and N. Hornstein (eds), *Working Minimalism*. Cambridge, Mass.: MIT Press, 189–215.

- Lasnik, Howard (1999d). A note on Pseudogapping. In H. Lasnik, *Minimalist Analysis*. Oxford: Blackwell, 151–74.
- Lasnik, Howard (2001a). Subjects, objects, and the EPP. In W. D. Davies and S. Dubinsky (eds), *Objects and Other Subjects: Grammatical Functions, Functional Categories, and Configurationality*. Dordrecht: Kluwer, 103–21.
- Lasnik, Howard (2001b). A note on the EPP. *Linguistic Inquiry* 32: 356–62.
- Lasnik, Howard (2002). Feature movement or agreement at a distance? In A. Alexiadou, E. Anagnostopoulou, S. Barbiers, and H.-M. Gaertner (eds), *Dimensions of Movement*. Amsterdam: Benjamins, 189–208.
- Lasnik, Howard (2004). A-Movement, the EPP, and the organization of the grammar. Course taught at the University of Stuttgart (June).
- Lasnik, Howard (2005a). How to evade moving violations. Course material, LSA Institute, MIT/Harvard University (July/Aug.).
- Lasnik, Howard (2005b). What is the EPP? Handout, Glow Colloquium (Apr.).
- Lasnik, Howard, and Park, Myung-Kwan (2003). The EPP and the Subject Condition under Sluicing. *Linguistic Inquiry* 34 (4): 649–60.
- Lasnik, Howard, and Saito, Mamoru (1991). On the subject of infinitives. In L. Dobrin, L. Nichols, and R. Rodriguez (eds), *Papers from the 27th Regional Meeting of the Chicago Linguistic Society*, 324–43.
- Lebeaux, David (1988). Language acquisition and the form of grammar. Doctoral dissertation, University of Massachusetts, Amherst.
- Lechner, Winfried (2004). *Ellipsis in Comparatives*. Berlin: Mouton de Gruyter.
- Levin, Beth, and Rappaport Hovav, Malka (2005). *Argument Realization*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Levin, Nancy (1978). Some identity-of-sense deletions puzzle me. Do they you? *CLS* 14: 229–40.
- Levin, Nancy (1986). *Main Verb Ellipsis in Spoken English*. New York: Garland.
- Lobeck, Anne (1995). *Ellipsis: Functional Heads, Licensing, and Identification*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Lobeck, Anne (1999). VP Ellipsis and the minimalist program: some speculations and proposals. In S. Lappin and E. Benmamoun (eds), *Fragments: Studies in Ellipsis and Gapping*. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 98–124.
- Merchant, Jason (2001). *The Syntax of Silence: Sluicing, Islands and the Theory of Ellipsis*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Merchant, Jason (2004). Fragments and ellipsis. *Linguistics and Philosophy* (27) 6: 661–738.
- Merchant, Jason (2007). Voice and ellipsis. MS, University of Chicago.
- Merchant, Jason (2008). An asymmetry in voice mismatches in VP-ellipsis and Pseudogapping. *Linguistic Inquiry* 39 (1): 169–79.
- Miller, Philip (1991). Clitics and constituents in phrase structure grammar. Ph.D. dissertation, Utrecht University.
- Mohr, Sabine (2005). *Clausal Architecture and Subject Positions*. Amsterdam: Benjamins.
- Moltmann, Friederike (1992). *Coordination and Comparatives*. Ph.D. dissertation, MIT.
- Neeleman, Ad (1994). Complex predicates. Ph.D. dissertation, Utrecht University.
- Nunes, Jairo (2001). Sideward movement. *Linguistic Inquiry* 32: 303–44.
- Ottósson, Kjartan (1991). Icelandic double objects as small clauses. *Working Papers in Scandinavian Syntax* 48: 77–97.

- Pesetsky, David (1995). *Zero Syntax*. Cambridge, Mass.: MIT Press.
- Postal, P. M. (1974). *On Raising: One Rule of English Grammar and its Theoretical Implications*. Cambridge, Mass.: MIT Press.
- Richards, Norvin (2001). *Movement in Language: Interactions and Architectures*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Rizzi, Luigi (1997). The fine structure of the left periphery. In L. Haegeman (ed.), *Elements of Grammar*. Dordrecht: Kluwer, 281–337.
- Rochemont, Michael (1978). A theory of stylistic rules in English. Ph.D. dissertation, University of Massachusetts, Amherst.
- Romero, Maribel (1998). Focus and reconstruction effects in *wh*-phrases. Ph.D. dissertation thesis, University of Massachusetts, Amherst.
- Rooth, Mats (1985). Association with focus. Ph.D. dissertation, University of Massachusetts, Amherst.
- Rooth, Mats (1992a). A theory of focus interpretation. *Natural Language Semantics* 1: 75–116.
- Rooth, Mats (1992b). Ellipsis redundancy and reduction redundancy. In S. Berman and A. Hestvik (eds), *Proceedings of the Stuttgart Ellipsis Workshop*. Stuttgart.
- Ross, John (1969). Guess who? In R. Binnick, A. Davison, G. Green, and J. Morgan (eds), *Papers from the 5th Regional Meeting of the Chicago Linguistic Society*, 252–86.
- Sag, Ivan (1976). Deletion and Logical Form. Ph.D. dissertation, University of Massachusetts, Amherst.
- Sauerland, Uli (1998). The meaning of chains. Ph.D. dissertation, MIT.
- Schwarzschild, Roger (1999). GIVENness, Avoid F and other constraints on the placement of focus. *Natural Language Semantics* 7 (2): 141–77.
- Stowell, Tim (1981). The origins of phrase structure. Ph.D. dissertation, MIT.
- Stump, Gregory T. (1977). Pseudogapping. MS, Ohio State University.
- Szabolcsi, Anna (1981). The semantics of topic-focus articulation. In J. Groenendijk, T. Janssen, and M. Stokhof (eds), *Formal Methods in the Study of Language*. Amsterdam: Mathematisch Centrum, 513–41.
- Szendroï, Kriszta (2001). Focus and the syntax–phonology interface. Ph.D. dissertation, University College London.
- Takahashi, Shoichi (2003). Pseudogapping: the view from Scandinavian languages. Paper presented at Comparative Germanic Syntax Workshop 18, University of Durham (Sept.).
- Takahashi, Shoichi (2004a). Pseudogapping and cyclic linearization. In *Proceedings of NELS 34*. Amherst, Mass.: GLSA, 571–85.
- Takahashi, Shoichi (2004b). Dimensions of focus effects in ellipsis. Paper presented at the workshop ‘Dimensions of focus’ at the 22nd National Conference of the English Linguistic Society of Japan, Dokkyo University (Nov.).
- Tancredi, Christopher (1992). Deletion, deaccenting and presupposition. Ph.D. dissertation, MIT.
- te Velde, John (2005). *Deriving Coordinate Symmetries: A phase-based approach integrating Select, Merge, Copy and Match*. Amsterdam: Benjamins.
- Tomioka, Satoshi (1997). Focusing effects and NP interpretation in VP ellipsis. Ph.D. dissertation, University of Massachusetts, Amherst.
- Uriagereka, Juan (1988). On government. Ph.D. dissertation, University of Connecticut.
- van Craenenbroeck, Jeroen (2004). *Ellipsis in Dutch Dialects*. Utrecht: LOT.

- van Craenenbroeck, Jeroen, and Lipták, Anikó (2006). Silence in the left periphery: the cross-linguistic syntax of Sluicing. Talk presented at the ‘Edges in syntax’ conference, Cyprus College (May).
- von Stechow, Arnim (1991). Current issues in the theory of focus. In A. von Stechow and D. Wunderlich (eds), *Semantik/Semantics: An International Handbook of Contemporary Research*. Berlin: de Gruyter, 804–24.
- Williams, Edwin (1977). Discourse and logical form. *Linguistic Inquiry* 8: 101–39.
- Winkler, Susanne (2005 [2003]). *Ellipsis and Focus in Generative Grammar*. Berlin: de Gruyter.
- Winkler, Susanne, and Schwabe, Kerstin (2003). Exploring the interfaces from the perspective of omitted structures. In K. Schwabe and S. Winkler (eds), *The Interfaces: Deriving and Interpreting Omitted Structures*. Amsterdam: Benjamins, 1–26.
- Zoerner, Edward, and Agbayani, Brian (2000). Unifying left-peripheral deletion, Gapping and Pseudogapping. In *Proceedings of CLS 36: The Main Session*, 549–61.
- Zwarts, Jan-Wouter (1993). Dutch syntax: a Minimalist approach. Ph.D. dissertation, University of Groningen.

Index

- A-bar-movement 4–5, 7, 22–23, 26–27, 29–30, 32, 53–54, 64–67, 70–72
- Across-the-Board Movement (ATB) 22, 33, 34
- Active/passive alternation 148, 150
- Alternative Semantics 99, 102
- A-movement 4–5, 7, 22–23, 26, 29–30, 32, 39, 53–54, 58, 62, 66–67, 69–71
- Argument alternations 117, 119
- Auxiliary 3, 8–9, 11, 13, 21, 55

- Bare Argument Ellipsis 14–15, 160
- Binding 29, 66–67, 71–72
- Binding effects 26, 58–59, 64–65, 72
- Binding Theory 58–59, 62
- Brazilian Portuguese (*see* Portuguese)

- Cleft 79, 82
- Comparative 10–11, 18, 20
- Contrast 11, 13, 21, 100, 106, 108, 122–124, 138, 171
- Contrastive 160
- Contrastiveness (cf. Focus) 89, 105, 136
- Control 62, 70
- CP 165
- CP phase 172
- Cyclic Linearization 25, 55

- Danish 16, 18, 55, 57
- Deaccenting 111–112, 114
- Deletion 4–7, 24, 26–28, 50, 89, 106–107, 109, 112, 124–126, 128, 132, 137–138, 142, 144–145, 158, 165–166, 168, 171–172
- IP deletion 14, 128
- NP deletion 169
- PF deletion 169
- TP deletion 127–129, 155, 158, 164–165
- VP deletion 23, 132, 134, 147, 150, 152–153, 163, 172
- ν P deletion 147, 150, 152–153, 164
- Deletion site 6, 15, 89, 124, 126, 132, 137, 143–145, 147, 172
- Direct Object 27, 32, 55–59, 62
- Direct Object Remnant 31–33, 56, 58, 68, 91
- Double Object constructions 32–33, 56, 61–62, 68–69, 76
- DP 166, 168
- Dutch 166
- Dutch Scrambling 22, 26, 29–31, 60, 69, 170

- ECM 7, 25, 42–47, 50, 53–55, 59
- Edge 52, 90, 154
- Edge feature 90
- E-feature 5–7, 124–128, 130–132, 134–138, 142, 147, 159–160, 167, 171
- E-Givenness 115, 119, 122–123, 156
- Ellipsis 3, 5–7, 14–15, 20–21, 24, 26, 31, 54, 56, 75, 99, 105, 107–109, 115, 126, 132, 136–138, 154, 169, 171–172
- IP Ellipsis 118, 128
- NP Ellipsis 5, 14–15, 105, 166–167, 169, 171–172
- VP Ellipsis 3, 4, 6, 8–9, 11–12, 14–16, 22–23, 25, 27–29, 33–34, 75, 80, 99, 102–104, 106–107, 109–110, 114–115, 118, 123, 126, 130, 132–136, 142–145, 147–148, 150–154, 156, 160, 170, 172–173
- Ellipsis site 13, 21, 26, 134, 136
- Entailment 115, 118–119
- EPP 4, 7, 23–24, 44–45, 48, 51–55, 171
- EPP-feature 23, 24, 44, 47, 54
- Exhaustivity 79, 108
- Extraposition 27

- Faroese 55
- F-Closure 113, 116, 120
- F-index 104, 106–107

- F-marking 103–104, 107, 120–122
 Focus 3, 5–7, 27–28, 54, 75–76, 79, 99–103, 105–106, 156, 167, 171
 Focus Condition 110, 112, 114–115, 120, 122–124, 127, 136, 138
 Focus effects 76, 84, 87, 169
 Focus feature 4–5, 76, 79, 103, 124–125, 130–131, 136–138, 143, 171
 Focus Interpretation Principle 100–101, 105, 137
 Focus Movement 4–7, 21, 26, 28–29, 75–76, 78–82, 84, 86, 108, 155, 169–171
 Focus Phrase 28, 78–79, 91, 130, 142, 157–160
 Focus position 76, 82
 Focus projection 4, 77–78, 145, 162, 165–166, 169
 Focus semantic value 99–100
 Focus stress 11
 Focus value 110, 112, 157
 Fragment answers/Fragments 5, 14–15, 157–158, 171–172
 French 8, 21
 Gapping 3, 7, 9, 13–14, 22–23, 26, 33–34, 76, 105, 158–165, 170, 172
 Givenness 113, 115, 122–123
 Heavy Noun Phrase Shift 4, 7, 22, 26–28, 30–33, 61, 72–75, 84–85, 87, 170
 Hebrew 76–77
 Holmberg's Generalization 55
 Hungarian 78
 Icelandic 16, 19, 55, 57
 IP deletion (*see* Deletion)
 IP Ellipsis (*see* Ellipsis, *also*: Sluicing)
 Indirect Object 32, 55–59, 61, 69
 Indirect Object remnant 31–33, 56, 68, 92
 Italian 77
 Korean 16, 80, 82
 LF 59, 81, 109, 104
 Licensing 7, 99, 109, 115, 138, 147, 172
 Licensing category 126
 Licensing head 126, 128, 131–132
 Licensing process 23, 126
 Mainland Scandinavian 55
 Malayalam 79, 82
 NP deletion (*see* Deletion)
 NP Ellipsis (*see* Ellipsis)
 Norwegian 16, 17, 55–57
 Object remnants (*see also*: direct object remnants, indirect object remnants)
 Object Shift 4, 7, 16, 22–25, 27, 30–33, 39, 42, 44–48, 53–58, 61, 63, 68–69, 75–76, 84–87, 170, 172
 Parasitic gaps 60–62, 67–70, 92
 PF 24, 128, 167
 PF deletion (*see* Deletion)
 Phase 6, 52, 59, 90–91, 153–154, 165–166, 168, 171–172
 Portuguese 8, 20
 Brazilian Portuguese 20
 Preposition 11, 21, 118
 Prepositional Complement 17, 19, 59
 Prepositional dative 58
 Prepositional Objects 55
 Prepositional Phrase 12, 55, 75
 Prepositional Remnants 20, 27, 58, 70, 82, 91
 Principle A (*see also*: Binding) 59
 Principle C (*see also*: Binding) 67, 69
 PRO 62
 Pronoun 10, 12, 54–55, 62
 Pseudogapping 3–7, 9–14, 16–34, 39, 50, 52–56, 58, 60, 62, 64, 66–71, 73–76, 80, 82, 84, 87, 89, 91, 131, 134, 142–144, 147, 154, 170–172
 Reconstruction 66–67, 72, 103
 Reconstruction effects 64, 66, 69
 Remnant 3–5, 9–11, 13–15, 18–20, 22–23, 26–27, 30–34, 50, 52–56, 58–66, 68–77, 80–82, 84–89, 91–93, 104, 106–108, 110, 120, 122, 125, 131, 136–138, 141–143, 153–154, 156, 164, 166–167, 169–172

- Scandinavian languages 16
 Scrambling 30, 83
 Sidewards Movement 22, 34, 158
 Sluicing (*see also*: IP Ellipsis/IP deletion) 5, 8, 14, 82, 105, 115, 117–121, 126–129, 131, 155–159, 165, 171–173
 Squiggle operator 101–102, 109–110
 Stripping (*see* Bare Argument Ellipsis)
 Swedish 55–57
 Syntactic Focus movement (*see also*: Focus movement) 7, 75–76, 79, 82, 84, 88, 99, 124, 141
 Topic 53, 158–161, 163, 165
 Topicalization 21
 Topic feature 160
 Topic phrase 160
 Topic position 160, 163
 Topic projection 162, 165
 TP deletion (*see* Deletion)
 TP phase 165
 Type-shifting 113
 VP deletion (*see* Deletion)
 vP deletion (*see* Deletion)
 vP phase 52, 91, 172
wh-movement 155, 157