Until and Expletive Negation in Modern Hebrew

Aviad Eilam (eilamavi@babel.ling.upenn.edu) Tatjana Scheffler (tatjana@babel.ling.upenn.edu) University of Pennsylvania

Goal: Provide an account for the occurrence of expletive negation (EN) under one reading of Modern Hebrew (MH) *ad* 'until' and its unavailability in the context of two other readings.

1. Outline of the talk

- ▶ The three possible readings of MH *ad* 'until'
- Only one reading remains with EN
- Fleshing out the semantics of *until*
- Previous accounts for EN under *until*
- EN is a polarity item licensed by monotone decreasing contexts
- EN under *ad* 'until' in affirmative contexts

2. Data

- In MH, the lexical item *ad* 'until' can give rise to three distinct readings. The first is durative *until*:
- (1) dani yišan ad še-ha-mesiba tatxil.
 Danny sleep:FUT:3MS until that-the-party start:FUT:3FS¹
 'Danny will sleep until the party starts.'
- This reading is only available with stative verbs in the matrix clause.
- When *ad* is used with an eventive verb, this gives rise to a second reading, which is expressed in English as 'by (the time)':
- (2) dani yagi'a **ad** še-ha-mesiba tatxil. Danny arrive:FUT:3MS **by** that-the-party start:FUT:3FS 'Danny will arrive by the time the party starts.'
- The third reading is visible only when there is overt negation in the matrix clause. This has been known as NPI-*until* since Karttunen (1974).
- (3) dani lo yišan **ad** še-ha-mesiba tatxil. Danny NEG sleep:FUT:3MS **until/by** that-the-party start:FUT:3FS 'Danny won't sleep until the party starts.'
- The three available readings for (3) can be spelled out as^2 :
- (4) a. (not + durative until): Danny will wake up before the party starts.
 b. (until-by): Danny will not have slept by the time the party starts.
 c. (NPI-until): Danny will start sleeping when the party starts (but not before).

¹ The following abbreviations will be used in the glosses: FUT = future, PST = past, PRES = present, SUB = subjunctive, M = masculine, F = feminine, S = singular.

 $^{^{2}}$ We ignore the possible metalinguistic reading: Danny won't sleep until the party <u>starts</u>, he will sleep until it ends.

- When the negative marker *lo* appears in the until-clause it does not contribute negative force to the sentence, and thus can be labeled expletive negation³.
- (5) dani lo yišan **ad** še-ha-mesiba **lo** tatxil. Danny NEG sleep:FUT:3MS **until** that-the-party **NEG** start:FUT:3FS 'Danny won't sleep until the party starts.'
- Furthermore, in this context two of the three readings noted above are not available, namely, (4a) and (4b), so that (5) only retains the NPI-*until* reading, (4c). The remainder of this paper attempts to explain this observation.

3. Three untils

3.1 Semantics for durative *until*

- According to Giannakidou (2002), a sentence with durative *until* like (1) has the following semantics:
- (6) Semantics for durative until

For α : $\lambda s [\mathbf{P}(s) \land \exists t \mathbf{AT} (s,t)]; \quad \beta: \lambda t' \mathbf{Q} (t')$ [[until (α,β)]] = $\lambda s \exists t \exists t' \exists t''' [\mathbf{P}(s) \land \mathbf{AT} (s,t''') \land \mathbf{Q}(t') \land t \subseteq t''' \land \forall t''$ [[$t \leq t'' < t'$] $\rightarrow \exists s' [s' \subseteq s \land \mathbf{P}(s') \land \mathbf{AT} (s', t'')]$]]

• The state P denoted by the matrix VP α holds at all times prior to the endpoint β , at which time the event Q denoted by the until-clause takes place.

3.2 NPI-until and durative until

- Karttunen (1974) demonstrated that *until* under negation (NPI-*until*) behaves differently from durative *until* (cf. also Giannakidou 2002). Consider again sentence (1), with durative *until*, and sentence (3) under the NPI-*until* reading, repeated here as (7) and (8).
- (7) dani yišan **ad** še-ha-mesiba tatxil. Danny sleep:FUT:3MS **until** that-the-party start:FUT:3FS 'Danny will sleep until the party starts.'
- (8) dani lo yišan ad še-ha-mesiba tatxil.
 Danny NEG sleep:FUT:3MS until that-the-party start:FUT:3FS
 'Danny won't sleep until the party starts.'
- (7) contains an implicature whereby there is a change of state at the point denoted by the until-clause. The fact that it can be cancelled establishes that it is not an entailment:
- (9) dani yišan ad še-ha-mesiba tatxil. Lema'ase, hu yišan ad še-hi tigamer. 'Danny will sleep until the party starts. In fact, he'll sleep until it ends.'
- In contrast, (8) *entails* that there is actualization of a sleeping event when the party starts:
- (10) dani lo yišan ad še-ha-mesiba tatxil. #kše-hi tatxil, hu yakum, yitlabeš ve-yelex le-tiul.
 "Danny won't clear until the party starts. #When it starts, he'll get up, get dressed and

'Danny won't sleep until the party starts. #When it starts, he'll get up, get dressed and go out for a walk.'

³ Note that in similar sentences *lo* can be interpreted as conventional negation. See example (31).

- NPI-*until* also allows eventive predicates (13). Durative *until*, however, is only compatible with statives (11-12).
- (11) The princess slept until midnight.
 (12) *The princess arrived until midnight.
 (13) The princess didn't arrive until midnight.
 (14) (Giannakidou 2002, ex. (3))⁴
 (15) (Giannakidou 2002, ex. (3))⁴
 (16) (Giannakidou 2002, ex. (3))⁴
- Mittwoch (1977) attempted to reduce the special properties of NPI-*until* to scopal ambiguity. In her framework, NPI-*until* is *until* scoping above negation.
- When *until* scopes under negation, this reading corresponds to what we have called *not* + durative *until*.
- In Mittwoch (2001), she concedes that a separate NPI-*until* must exist. She still argues that the reading obtained with *until* above negation, which only implicates a change of state, must also be available in some contexts. Specifically, if the until-clause is preposed, this reading can be observed, both in English and MH:⁵
- (14) ad še-ha-mesiba tatxil dani lo yišan. kše-hi tatxil, hu yakum, yitlabeš ve-yelex le-tiul. 'Until the party starts, Danny won't sleep. When it starts, he'll get up, get dressed and go out for a walk.'
- In sentences where the matrix clause precedes the until-clause, the absence of an implicature reading (cf. (10)) seems to be overridden by the stronger entailment reading (and see Mittwoch 2001).

3.3 Until-by

• MH *ad* has a third, purely temporal reading which English *until* lacks. It allows an event to occur at any subpart of the interval (Giannakidou 2003).

(15)(=2) dani yagi'a **ad** še-ha-mesiba tatxil. Danny arrive:FUT:3MS **by** that-the-party start:FUT:3FS 'Danny will arrive by the time (*until) the party starts.'

4. EN and *until*

- MH licenses a negative marker lacking negative force in until-clauses⁶.
- On EN, see Horn 1978, Tovena 1996, Brown 1999, a.o.

4.1 Previous accounts

- Abels (2002, 2005) deals with EN under Russian *poka* 'until', as in the following example:
- (16) Ja podoždu poka ty ne prideš (Russian)
 I will wait until you NEG arrive
 'I'll wait for you until you arrive.' (Abels 2005: ex. (80))

⁴ The MH counterpart of (12) is grammatical only because it allows the by-*until* reading.

⁵ Contra Giannakidou (2002), these sentences are acceptable for all speakers of English we consulted.

⁶ EN also occurs in exclamatives and universal concessive conditionals in MH (see Eilam 2005 for further details).

- Abels (2002) gives *poka* the semantics of while: 'I will wait for you while you have not arrived'.
- Abels (2005): (*p* until *q*) means that *p* (*I will wait*) is true as long as *q* (*you will arrive*) is not, so that the negation over *q* is actually not vacuous.
- But: In Russian, EN is obligatory and not related to the appearance of negation in the matrix clause, unlike MH.
- **Espinal (2000):** EN with *until* and in *wh*-exclamatives (cf. fn. 6) in Italian, Spanish and Catalan appears in the same syntactic configuration: an X° head, hosting *until* or similar EN licensors. This head must be strictly local to the negative marker.
- (17) *Abans [que contesti [que passa res, jo me n' aniria]. (Catalan) before that answer+SUBJ.3sg that happens anything, I meCL enCL go Intended: 'Before he answers that anything happens, I would leave.'
 (Espinal 2000: ex. (11c))
- The semantic feature present in X° which licenses the EN is argued to be nonveridicality, the property of not entailing the truth of the complement.
- Locality of EN to the licensing operator holds in MH as well:
- (18) dani lo yišan ad še-yossi yagid lo še-ha-mesiba Danny NEG sleep:FUT:3MS until that-Yossi tell:FUT:3MS to.him that-they-party lo nigmera.
 NEG end:PST:3FS
 'Danny won't sleep until Yossi tells him that the party has *(not) ended.'
- Van der Wouden (1994) employs the following hypothesis:
- (19) Paratactic negation is a negative polarity item of the weak sort, i.e., it may occur in all monotone decreasing contexts.(van der Wouden 1994: p. 39)
- He applies the hypothesis to a number of environments licensing EN in Dutch and French⁷.
- However, durative *until* is in fact monotone increasing (Valencia et al. 1994):
- (20) Danny will cry until you give him a lollipop → Danny will cry until you give him candy

 $^{^{7}}$ It is worth noting that the French data are problematic, since the use of EN in French is guided by prescriptive rules taught in school.

4.2 Proposal

- In contrast to durative *until*, NPI-*until* includes a component which is clearly monotone decreasing, namely, the entailment that there is a change of state at the endpoint denoted by *until*. This entailment can be stated as:
- (21) if *q*, not *p*
- Example:
- (22) Danny won't shut up until you give him candy. p = Danny won't shut up; q = you give him candy
- (23) if you give Danny candy, not (he won't shut up) → if you give Danny a lollipop, not (he won't shut up)
- Going back to our original example of NPI-*until*, its entailment is spelled out in (25):
- (24) (=3) dani lo yišan **ad** še-ha-mesiba tatxil. Danny NEG sleep:FUT:3MS **until** that-the-party start:FUT:3FS 'Danny won't sleep until the party starts.'
- (25) if the party starts, not (Danny doesn't sleep)
- This entailment of actualization is lacking in durative *until*, where it is only an implicature. In fact, this is what seems to differentiate NPI-*until* from its durative counterpart (see (9) vs. (10)):
- (26) dani yišan ad še-ha-mesiba tatxil.
 Danny sleep:FUT:3MS until/by that-the-party start:FUT:3FS
 a. 'Danny will sleep until the party starts.'
 - b. 'Danny will sleep by the time the party starts.'
- (27) if the party starts $\not\rightarrow$ not (Danny sleeps) (i.e., Danny can continue sleeping after the party starts)
- In the case of *until*-by, there is no change of state and the endpoint marked by *until*-by simply denotes the termination of a time interval.

The fact that the actualization entailment is found with NPI-*until* but not in the context of durative *until* or *until*-by, together with the idea that EN is licensed in monotone decreasing contexts, explains why the former but not the latter allows EN^8 .

⁸ This account could arguably hold also for Spanish, where the facts seem to be similar to MH, i.e., durative *until* does not entail actualization, and the sentence with EN (ii) has only an NPI-*until* reading, whereas (i) has both this reading and the not + durative *until* reading:

⁽i) Daniel no dormirá hasta que la fiesta comience.

Danny NEG sleep:FUT:3S until that the party start:PRES-SUB:3S (ii) Daniel no dormirá hasta que la fiesta no comience.

Danny NEG sleep:FUT:3S until that the party NEG start:PRES-SUB:3S 'Danny didn't sleep until the party started.'

- This analysis provides additional support for Karttunen's claim that a separate NPI-*until* exists. If the NPI-*until* reading were reduced to scopal ambiguity (*until* > negation), as Mittwoch (1977) argues, there would be no explanation why EN should be licensed under *until* > neg, but not neg > *until*.
- The monotonicity hypothesis is preferable over (Espinal 2000). Although she correctly predicts that EN should not be possible with *until*-by, because it is veridical (see (28)), she is unable to distinguish between durative *until* and NPI-*until*, since both are nonveridical.
- (28) a. dani kvar lo yašan **ad** še-ha-mesiba hitxila. Danny already NEG sleep:PST:3MS **by** that-the-party start:PST:3FS 'Danny was already not asleep by the time the party started.'
 - b. *dani kvar lo yašan **ad** še-ha-mesiba **lo** hitxila. Danny already NEG sleep:PST:3MS **by** that-the-party **NEG** start:PST:3FS

4.3 The nature of expletive negation

- Van der Wouden (1994) maintains that the semantics of EN may simply be that of the identity function, so that EN is a theoretical concept separate from that of conventional negation (cf. also Brown 1999).
- In contrast, Abels (2005): "it is implausible that the realization of two vastly different logical operators, the identity function and negation, should map onto the same morpheme" (p. 5).
- Abels argues that EN can be reduced to conventional negation (see also Tovena 1996).
- With NPI-*until*, under which EN is always licensed, there seems to be a difference between the reading obtained with EN and that without EN in MH.
- (29) #Nancy didn't get married until she died. (Karttunen 1974, ex. (23))
- (30) a. **ad** še-hu met dani lo hitxaten. **until** that-he die:PST:3FS Danny NEG marry 'Until he died, Danny didn't get married.'
 - b. #dani lo hitxaten **ad** še-hu met. Danny NEG marry:PST:3MS **until** that-he die:PST:3FS 'Danny didn't get married until he died.'
 - c. ??dani lo hitxaten **ad** še-hu **lo** met.⁹ Danny NEG marry:PST:3MS **until** that-he **NEG** die:PST:3FS 'Danny didn't get married until he died.'

⁹ The fact that the entailment in (30c) is perceived as stronger than (30b) could be due to an effect of pragmatic reinforcement. Since EN requires the NPI-*until* reading, and thus always forces an entailment, the latter may be more salient in a sentence with EN.

- Contra van der Wouden, the same negative marker can be either conventional or expletive in MH, and thus ambiguity can arise:
- (31) dani lo yišan **ad** še-yossi **lo** yenagen ba-psanter. Danny NEG sleep:FUT:3MS **until** that-Yossi **NEG** play:FUT:3FS in.the-piano
 - a. 'Danny won't sleep until Yossi plays the piano.' (i.e., he'll start sleeping when Yossi starts to play)
 - b. 'Danny won't sleep until Yossi doesn't play the piano.' (i.e., he can only sleep when Yossi stops playing)

5. EN in affirmative contexts

- In certain contexts, EN is also available with *until* in affirmative sentences.
- (32) "adam še-ne'ešam be-avera plilit xezkato še-hu zakai, ad še-lo huxexa ašmato ka-xok be-mišpat pumbi."
 "Everyone charged with a penal offence has the right to be presumed innocent until EN proven guilty according to law in a public trial."
 (Article 11 of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights)
- The felicity of such sentences depends on the context and an appropriate intonation, which deemphasizes the negative marker *lo*. Therefore, unlike the case in Spanish and Italian, all the MH speakers we consulted rejected the following sentence:
- (33) *dani yišan ad še-ha-mesiba lo tatxil.
 Danny sleep:FUT:3MS until that-the-party NEG start:FUT:3FS
 'Danny will sleep until the party starts.'
- The reading EN gives rise to in (32) is not related to a change of state at the endpoint, unlike EN under NPI-*until*. Native speakers' intuition is that the negative marker indicates the speaker's unwillingness to commit to the actualization of the until-clause.

6. Conclusion

- EN in until-clauses in MH requires NPI-*until*, because only NPI-*until* contributes a monotone decreasing entailment of actualization. In fact, the use of EN results in an even stronger reading of actualization. The postulation of two distinct lexical items for *until* finds additional support in the fact that durative *until* cannot license EN.
- As predicted by Giannakidou (2002), there are indeed languages which use a single expression to indicate all three possible meanings of UNTIL: NPI-*until*, *until*-by and durative *until*. MH *ad* is just such an expression.

References

- Abels, K. 2002. Expletive (?) negation. In J. Toman (ed.), *Proceedings of FASL 10*. Bloomington, ID: Michigan Slavic Publications, 1-20.
- Abels, K. 2005. 'Expletive negation' in Russian: A conspiracy theory. *Journal of Slavic Linguistics*.
- Brown, S. 1999. *The Syntax of Negation in Russian: A Minimalist Approach*. Stanford: CSLI Publications.
- Eilam, A. 2005. Universal concessive conditionals in Modern Hebrew: A case of not so expletive negation. Paper presented at the 9th International Pragmatics Conference, Riva del Garda, Italy.
- Espinal, M. T. 2000. Expletive negation, negative concord and feature checking. *Catalan Working Papers in Linguistics* 8:47-69.
- Giannakidou, A. 2002. UNTIL, aspect and negation: A novel argument for two *untils*. In B. Jackson (ed.), *Semantics and Linguistic Theory (SALT) 12*. Cornell University, Ithaca, NY: CLC Publications, 84-103.
- Giannakidou, A. 2003. A puzzle about UNTIL and the present perfect. In A. Alexiadou, M. Rathert, and A. von Stechow (eds.), *Perfect Explorations*. Berlin: Mouton de Gruyter, 101-133.
- Horn, L. R. 1978. Some aspects of negation. In J.H. Greenberg (ed.), Universals of Human Language, Vol. 4 Syntax. Stanford: Stanford University Press.
- Karttunen, L. 1974. Until. Proceedings of the 10th Regional Meeting, Chicago Linguistic Society 10:284-97.
- Mittwoch, A. 1977. Negative sentences with until. Proceedings of the 13th Regional Meeting, Chicago Linguistic Society 13:410-17.
- Mittwoch, A. 2001. Perfective sentences under negation and durative adverbials. In J. Hoeksema, H. Rullmann, V. Sanchez-Valencia, and T. van der Wouden (eds.), *Perspectives on Negation and Polarity Items*. Amsterdam: John Benjamins, 265-282.
- Tovena, L.M. 1996. An expletive negation which is not so redundant. In K. Zagona (ed.), Grammatical Theory and Romance Languages: Selected Papers from the 25th Linguistics Symposium on Romance Languages (LSRL XXV), Seattle, 2-4 March 1995. Amsterdam: John Benjamins.
- Valencia, V. S., T. van der Wouden, and F. Zwarts. 1994. Polarity, veridicality, and temporal connectives. In P. Dekker and M. Stokhof (eds.), *Proceedings of the Ninth Amsterdam Colloquium, December 14 - 17, 1993.* Amsterdam: ILLC/Department of Philosophy, University of Amsterdam, 587-606.
- van der Wouden, T. 1994. Polarity and 'illogical negation'. In M. Kanazawa and C.J. Pinon (eds.), *Dymanics, Polarity, and Quantification*. Stanford: CSLI, 17-45.